

MEETING MINUTES - UNCONFIRMED

Lockyer Valley Community Consultative Committee

DATE / TIME

10 December 2019
6.00pm – 8.00pm

LOCATION

Lockyer Valley Cultural Centre, Gatton

CHAIR

- ▶ Mark Hohenhaus

MINUTE TAKER

- ▶ Secretariat

ATTENDEES

- ▶ Mark Hohenhaus (CHAIR)
- ▶ Kathy Brady (KB)
- ▶ Peter Cahill (PC)
- ▶ Mark Newton (MN)
- ▶ Judy Seppanen (JS)
- ▶ Thomas Kelly – invited guest to represent the Grandchester/Calvert section (TK)
- ▶ Kenneth Moore (KM)
- ▶ Neil Cook (NC)
- ▶ Margaret McCarthy (MM)
- ▶ Maurice Hennessy (MH)
- ▶ Gavin Simpson (GS2)

APOLOGIES

- ▶ Jason Chavasse – invited guest to representing the Gowrie section (JC)
- ▶ Simon Warner (SW)

ARTC PROJECT TEAM

- ▶ Max Nichols, Senior Project Manager (G2H)
- ▶ Sarah Delahunty, Stakeholder Engagement Manager (PPP)
- ▶ Corey Doran, Stakeholder Engagement Advisor (H2C)
- ▶ Helen Williams, Social Performance (QLD)
- ▶ Chris Mathews, Project Manager (H2C)
- ▶ Giano Terzic, Stakeholder Engagement Lead (G2H)
- ▶ Belinda Scott-Toms, Stakeholder Engagement Advisor (G2H)
- ▶ Ashley Williams, Indigenous Participation Advisor (QLD)

Discussions

| NO. | DISCUSSIONS | |
|-----|--|----------------|
| 1 | <p>Introduction and welcome from the Chair - 6.14pm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chair invited Margaret McCarthy to deliver the Welcome to Country. • Chair welcomed observers and advised of the conditions of attending the meeting. • Chair welcomed Patrick Murphy, representing Member for Wright Scott Buchholz, Lockyer MP Jim McDonald, Lockyer Valley Mayor Tanya Milligan, Councillors and Council Staff. • Chair wished all present well over the festive season and best wishes for 2020. | Chair |
| 2 | <p>Minutes of Previous Meeting and Conflict of Interest Register</p> <p>Confirmation of the previous meeting minutes, having been regularly circulated, are accepted as true and correct record of the meeting.</p> <p>Moved: Mark Hohenhaus Seconded: Mark Newton Carried</p> <p>Nil conflicts of interest.</p> | Chair |
| 3 | <p>Actions from Previous Meeting</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. ARTC to provide formal answers to the questions raised in the Visualisation Workshop held in August 2019. In progress: Confirmed. 2. ARTC to provide presentation to committee members prior to the next meeting. Complete: Completed. | Chair Chair |

| NO. | DISCUSSIONS | |
|-----|--|-----------------|
| | <p>3. Chair to confirm with Office of Coordinator General (OCG) opportunity for a workshop on how to comment on the draft EIS. Complete: Completed.</p> | |
| 4 | <p>Community Consultative Committee 2020 Update</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lockyer Valley CCC established December 2017 for two years as per the charter, to December 2019 • Review of the CCCs is in process and will be in line with the charter • Advertisements calling for Lockyer Valley CCC members will commence ASAP • All existing Lockyer Valley CCC members are welcome to re-apply • Members may re-nominate through their organisations. Individuals may re-nominate themselves • The term for CCC membership will continue until the H2C project receives EIS approval or, a 12 month term • Once EIS is approved – Reference Groups (or similar) will be established by appointed principal contractor. <p>Question from committee member – Chairman</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will the selection of members be undertaken by an independent body? (CHAIR) Response (SD) – Confirmed, a third-party organisation will be engaged to select CCC members. • Chair confirmed he won't be seeking re-appointment and will not accept any nomination over concern for having a former Chair as an ordinary member. • Chris Matthews offered thanks on behalf of Inland Rail especially the local team to the Chair for his valued work. • Chair invited CCC members to reflect on their membership to date, value and experience. <p>(Roundtable discussion of experiences, reflections and intentions regarding nominations)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chair also thanked Scott Buchholz MP, Jim Macdonald MP and Cr Tanya Milligan for their support. | Sarah Delahunty |
| 5 | <p>Gowrie to Helidon (G2H) update.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thanked the committee and Chair for their participation • Confirmed the G2H reference design is close to completion • Discussed in particular the Gowrie area and crossing loops • Cuts and fill quantities described • Updated timeframe for EIS submission, February 2020 with an expected mid-year start for public consultation. • Presentation of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ cross-sections of Western Portal, ○ Gowrie Creek Road Bridge, ○ crosscut/fill balance in steep terrain ○ Viaduct/Bridge structures ○ Visualisations, Katoomba, Murphys Creek, Ashlands Drive <p>Question from committee member – Tom Kelly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seeking an update on InterlinkSQ facility at Gowrie Junction. Response (MN) – Confirmed understanding that the facility is proceed, confirming not being delivered as part of IR and requires separate approvals, example of private industry investing in intermodal hubs. <p>Question from committee member – Kathy Brady</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When can the community make comments on the EIS be made, do we have date ranges? Response, MN/CM – Timeframes for public comment/submissions on the Draft EIS can be expected in June for G2H and May for H2C (this date is expected only and is subject to the OCG) | Max Nichols |

| NO. | DISCUSSIONS | |
|-----|--|----------------|
| 6 | <p>Helidon to Calvert (H2C) update.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extended his thanks to the committee Chair and members. • Confirmed submission of Draft EIS on 27 September 2019 and currently working on feedback provided by OCG • Confirmed updated public information including webpage, flythrough video and interactive map • Recent engagement activities, operational noise modelling workshops | Chris Matthews |
| 7 | <p>Operational Noise Assessment and Mitigation Case Studies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Overview of four case studies including; Scone 2016, Gunnedah 2014-15, Southern Sydney Freight Line (SSFL) 2014, 2015 and 2018 and Inland Rail QLD Validation 2019 • Railway noise, mitigation and management approach • Noise Triggers as part of assessment • Review of modelled, goals and outcomes • Overview of Railway Noise; characteristics, noise spectrum and contributing factors (rolling stock, individual items, site features, track conditions and individual perceptions) • Strategy based approach, reasonable and practicable (DTMR 2019), consideration for implementation of barriers • Example of property based mitigation options and outcomes • Overview of noise management approach for operations, ARTC management of rail operators - identification, notification processes • Where to from here; Impacted Property Assessment, Detailed Design and Post Commissioning <p>Questions from committee member – Ken Moore</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How will Inland Rail manage operators rolling stock? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ SH, compliance of individual operators is expected to be addressed, ongoing. • How will community raise a complaint, how is Inland Rail's relationship with the operator expected to support/influence operators to improve (lessen) noise from rolling stock? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ MN, confirmed the expectation is that the operator will be required to meet IR conditions/compliance and where issues may arise, it would be in the operator's and IR's best interest to comply, example given - Driver Behaviour or Rolling Stock flat wheel impacts operator costs and efficiencies. • Would you reduce the rail speed through Forest Hill to 40km/h? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ MN, speeds on the proposed alignment have been assessed and modelled to account for driver behaviour and anticipated consists using a conservative approach, to meet the business case. ○ SH, noted that speed significantly influences noise, potentially higher or greater number of triggers. <p>Question from committee member – Kathy Brady</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What happens to barrier heights where the barrier would be expected to be placed on an embankment, Valley Vista is more than 8m high? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ SH, noted that the assessment of the noise barriers is ongoing, a significant factor in the strategy is 'Reasonable and Practical' approach, with other issues taken into account; impact to hydrology, visual amenity, safety and fit-for-purpose. <p>Question from committee member – Peter Cahill</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does noise travel upward, is there a preference, would the noise barrier in Valley Vista work? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ SH, it is an option which is being assessed, it will depend on conditions and topography in relation to the receiver/s. <p>Question from committee member – Gavin Simpson</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Can you confirm the modelling and validation in relation to Hickey Street? | Shane Harris |

| NO. | DISCUSSIONS | |
|-----|---|-------|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ SH, confirmed identified potential impacted landowners have been advised by letter. | |
| 12 | <p>General Business</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation of G2H Flythrough • Presentation of H2C Flythrough <p>Question from committee member – Tom Kelly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are the track centres? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ CM advised 6.5m track centres have been used for the reference design, formal negotiations with QR will be ongoing following the IGA. • What is proposed for Neumann Road? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ CM advised Neumann Road has been proposed to be re-aligned and will remain parallel to the rail alignment. <p>Question from committee member – Kathy Brady</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What are the predicted noise level for Forest Hill and Laidley? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ CM, committed to sharing the modelling with the committee. • Queried visual amenity outcomes? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ CM, confirmed visual amenity treatments are proposed for Laidley-Plainland Road and Paroz Road. | Chair |
| 12 | <p>Meeting Close – 8.15pm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Chair thanked the committee members, observers and ARTC Inland Rail staff for attending the meeting, confirming the Inland Rail team will remain to answer any questions from the community. | Chair |

Actions

| NO. | ACTIONS | ACTION BY | DUE DATE |
|-----|---|-----------|----------|
| 1 | ARTC to provide Predicted/Modelled vs Measured noise levels for Queensland as discussed in case model presentation. | SH | |
| 2 | ARTC to provide presentation of noise barriers identified for assessment. | SH/CM | |
| 3 | ARTC to provide noise modelling outcomes for Forest Hill and Laidley. | SH | |

Appendix

| NO. | DETAILS |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | PowerPoint Presentation (read only) |

Next Meeting

Pending confirmation, tentatively scheduled for March 2020.