

INLAND RAIL – ALBURY TO ILLABO – CSSI 10055

ER MONTHLY REPORT

MARCH 2025

Authorisation

Author Name:	Derek Low	Reviewer / Approver:	Ricardo Prieto-Curiel
Position:	Environmental Representative	Position:	Environmental Representative
Signature:		Signature:	
Date:	07/04/25	Date:	07/04/25

Document Revision History

Revision	Date	Details
1.0	07/04/25	For issue

Report Name: Inland Rail – Albury to Illabo – CSSI 10055 – ER Monthly Report – March 2025

Project No.: 1161

Prepared for:
The Planning Secretary

Prepared by:
WolfPeak Group Pty Ltd

© Document copyright of WolfPeak Group Pty Limited.

This disclaimer, together with any limitations specified in this report, apply to use of this report. This report was prepared in accordance with the contracted scope of works for the specific purpose stated in the contract and subject to the applicable cost, time and other constraints. In preparing this report, WolfPeak Group Pty Ltd (WolfPeak) relied on client/third party information which was not verified by WolfPeak except to the extent required by the scope of works, and WolfPeak does not accept responsibility for omissions or inaccuracies in the client/third party information; and information taken at or under the particular times and conditions specified, and WolfPeak does not accept responsibility for any subsequent changes. This report has been prepared solely for the use by, and is confidential to, the client and WolfPeak accepts no responsibility for its use by any other parties. This report does not constitute legal advice. This report is subject to copyright protection and the copyright owner reserves its rights.

Distribution list

Organisation	Contact
Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure	Post Approval Portal / Compliance Mailbox
Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure	Scott Cooper
Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure	Alexander Scott
NSW Environment Protection Authority	info@epa.nsw.gov.au
Inland Rail	NSWRegEngagement@ARTC.com.au
Inland Rail	Rachael Labruyere
Inland Rail	Daniel Lumby
Inland Rail	Kirsten Velthuis
WolfPeak	Ricardo Prieto-Curiel (ER)
WolfPeak	Derek Low (ER)
WolfPeak	Tim Elder (ER)

CONTENTS

1. Key Findings for the Reporting Period.....	6
2. Background.....	7
3. Project Activities.....	7
3.1 Construction works	7
3.1.1 Works completed during the reporting period.....	7
3.1.2 Site inspectionsUpcoming works.....	8
3.2 Minor Construction Ancillary Facilities	8
3.3 Changes to the Project	8
3.4 Community consultation and complaints received	9
3.4.1 Consultation.....	9
3.4.2 Initial consultation completed for engaging with Kemp Street residences. Project dates for Kemp St bridge removal, road detours and tree removal discussed. Complaints	9
3.5 Incidents, Emergency Works and non-compliances.....	9
3.5.1 Incidents	9
3.5.2 Emergency Works	10
3.5.3 Non-compliances	10
4. ER Activities.....	10
4.1 Advice provided to the Proponent on the CoA and other authority conditions	10
4.2 Environmental management plans and programs.....	10
4.2.1 Development.....	10
4.2.2 Implementation	10
4.2.3 Site inspections.....	10
4.2.4 Audits	11
4.2.5 Complaint resolution	11
4.2.6 Meetings	11
4.2.7 Documentation issued	12
4.2.8 Communications with the Secretary	12
5. Environmental Performance of the Project.....	12
5.1 Environmental Performance	12



5.2 Key learnings..... 12

Limitations..... 13

Appendix A – ER Endorsement Letters..... 14

1. KEY FINDINGS FOR THE REPORTING PERIOD

CSSI 1055 condition A22(k) requires that the Environmental Representative (ER) must ‘prepare and submit to the Planning Secretary and other relevant regulatory agencies, for information, an Environmental Representative Monthly Report providing the information set out in the Environmental Representative Protocol under the heading “Environmental Representative Monthly Reports. The Environmental Representative Monthly Report must be submitted within seven (7) days following the end of each month for the duration of the ER’s engagement for the CSSI, or as otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary.”

This ER Monthly Report has been prepared in accordance with CoA A22(k), covering the period from 1 to 31 March 2025 (the reporting period).

Construction works commenced under CSSI 10055 during the reporting period. Inland Rail’s Principal Contractor, Martinus Rail (MR) continued with Low Impact Works in the form of surveys, investigations, minor site establishment and clearance works.

No incidents, non-compliances or non-conformances with the CoA were recorded during the reporting period.

Consultation with potentially affected stakeholders continued during March 2025, in the forms of meeting, email, phone call and SMS. Two complaints were received during the reporting period. On 18 March a complaint relating to property access which impacted the Multicultural Centre was resolved within 2 hours through meeting the CEO of the impacted business. On 20 March a complaint of driver behaviour relating to speeding trucks near Junee was received. An onsite code of conduct toolboxes session was held and a return call to complainant followed to advise of MR response. An investigation by MR is ongoing. The ER considers that this complaint remains open until conclusion of the investigation.

The ER reviewed and approved the following Low Impact Work documents in accordance with the terms of the Approval during the reporting period:

- Minor Ancillary Facility Assessment – Junee to Ilabo (J2I) Clearances (Rev 0)
- Low Impact Works Application – Junee to Ilabo (J2I) Clearances (Rev 0)

The ER reviewed and endorsed the updated Construction Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CCHMP) – Stage A (Rev 3.1) on 26 March 2025.

One inspection was conducted on 18 March 2025. Observations were mostly positive with one minor finding and associated action identified. This action was closed at the time of completing this report. The next inspection is scheduled for 8 April 2025.

The ER will continue to report on the progress of the Project each month.

2. BACKGROUND

Inland Rail is a proposed 1,600km freight rail line, comprising 12 sections, which will connect Melbourne and Brisbane via regional Victoria, New South Wales and Queensland. Inland Rail Pty Ltd (IR), a subsidiary of Australian Rail Track Corporation (ARTC), is building Inland Rail on behalf of the Australian Government.

This Environmental Representative Report relates to the Albury to Illabo section of Inland Rail, which involves the upgrade and operation of 185km of the Main South Line freight corridor to facilitate double stacked freight trains through Albury, Greater Hume, Lockhart, Wagga Wagga and Junee government areas (the Project).

The Project is declared critical State Significant Infrastructure (CSSI 10055) under section 5.13 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* (EP&A Act). Approval of the Project was granted by the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces on 08 October 2024, subject to a number of Conditions of Approval (CoA).

IR is the Applicant/Proponent of the Project. Martinus Rail (MR) have been engaged by IR as the Principal Contractor delivering the Project.

In accordance with CoA A18, Inland Rail sought approval to engage WolfPeak as the Project's Environmental Representatives (ER). This approval was granted by the Department of Planning Housing and Infrastructure (the Department) on 25 October 2024.

CoA A22(k) requires that the Environmental Representative (ER) must *'prepare and submit to the Planning Secretary and other relevant regulatory agencies, for information, an Environmental Representative Monthly Report providing the information set out in the Environmental Representative Protocol under the heading "Environmental Representative Monthly Reports. The Environmental Representative Monthly Report must be submitted within seven (7) days following the end of each month for the duration of the ER's engagement for the CSSI, or as otherwise agreed by the Planning Secretary.'*

This ER Monthly Report has been prepared in accordance with CoA A22(k), covering the period from 1 to 31 March 2025 (the reporting period).

3. PROJECT ACTIVITIES

3.1 Construction works

3.1.1 Works completed during the reporting period

Construction (as defined by the Approval) commenced in March 2025. The following activities were conducted:

- Surveys and geotechnical investigations
- Stockpile management and underbore preparation works were completed ahead of the underbore at Edmondson Street.

- Low impact works including site establishment works and deliveries at J2I
- Possession works at J2I
- Site inspections.

3.1.2 Upcoming works

The following works are planned for April 2025 (subject to the required pre-work/construction approvals being obtained):

- Continuation of investigations and utility works at Edmondson St
- Surveys, investigations and inspections
- May possession preparation activities at J2I including minor enabling works
- Deliveries.

3.2 Minor Construction Ancillary Facilities

On 7 March 2025 the ER provided satisfaction of a Minor Ancillary Facility (MAF) located at Ilabo within the Junee to Ilabo enhancement site. Establishment of the MAF commenced in March 2025.

3.3 Changes to the Project

Construction Staging

Martinus Rail have proposed to stage construction of the Project into two Stages:

- Stage A: preparation activities for rail possession (Substage A1), the rail possession activities (Substage A2), and post-possession activities (Substage A3).
- Stage B: continuation of Stage A works, Wagga Wagga Precinct, Uranquinty Creek, Billy Hughs Bridge, culvert, level crossing and finishing work.

A Staging Report was prepared in accordance with CoA A9 and A10 to reflect this staging approach. The ER reviewed and endorsed the Staging Report (Rev 1) on 19 November 2024 and the updated Staging Report (Rev 3) on 26 February 2025.

Minor Edmondson Street Utility Adjustment

As noted in the January 2025 ER Monthly Report, a Consistency Assessment¹ was determined by Inland Rail to change to the construction boundary for the relocation and/or adjustment of utilities at the Edmondson Street bridge enhancement site (in line with the approach set out in the Utilities Management Framework in Appendix D1 of the EIS). The utility adjustments commenced in February 2025 and continued into March 2025.

¹ A2I CA-001 Edmondson, Wagga. 6-0052-210-EEC-W5-AS-0002

3.4 Community consultation and complaints received

3.4.1 Consultation

Consultation occurred prior to the reporting period and throughout March 2025. The consultation primarily related to design, construction timing and property survey, access and the like.

Consultation undertaken during March 2025 is summarised below:

- J2I Consultation for March possession conducted, respite vouchers delivered as per the CNVIS – no issues raised from residents, no complaints received during possession.
- Initial consultation completed for engaging with Kemp Street residences. Project dates for Kemp St bridge removal, road detours and tree removal discussed.

3.4.2 Complaints

The complaints register current to 27 March 2025 was supplied to the ER. It includes details of all complaints received and the actions undertaken by Martinus Rail to resolve each complaint.

Two complaints were received during the reporting period:

- On 18 March 2025 a complaint was received from the Multicultural Centre relating to truck movements which prevented access on 17 March 2025. The Centre advised that they weren't notified there would be no access whilst machinery was being moved in, and they were unable to access the centre via the driveway. This impacted the refugee health clinic which was unable to start on time (first appointment commenced 45 minutes late). Martinus met on-site with the CEO of the Multicultural Centre and apologised for the inconvenience and lack of notice. Martinus also established the contact person who will be informed regularly of the works and movements around the Centre building. This complaint was closed within 2 hours.
- On 20 March 2025 a complaint was received regarding increased truck movements along Waterworks Road, Junee delivering ballast to site. A resident complained of a truck repeatedly speeding along Waterworks Road. MR conducted a toolbox talk on driver behaviour on site. The complainant was contacted to express apologies. An investigation is ongoing. The ER considers that this complaint remains open until conclusion of the investigation.

No complaints remain open from previous reporting periods. A copy of the complaints register can be provided by IR to the Department on request, in accordance with CoA B12.

3.5 Incidents, Emergency Works and non-compliances

3.5.1 Incidents

No incidents causing or threatening to cause material harm were recorded during the reporting period.

3.5.2 Emergency Works

Nothing to report.

3.5.3 Non-compliances

No non-compliances were reported during March 2025.

4. ER ACTIVITIES

4.1 Advice provided to the Proponent on the CoA and other authority conditions

Advice has been provided by the ER to Inland Rail and MR throughout late 2024 to March 2025 regarding the development of the Staging Report, CEMF, CEMP and Sub-plans under CoA A9, C1, C6, C16, C18, and C26. Further details are provided in Section 4.2, below.

4.2 Environmental management plans and programs

4.2.1 Development

CEMP and Sub-plans were developed from late 2024 to March 2025 and submitted to the ER for comment and endorsement. The following documents were prepared and endorsed by the ER for submission to the Department during the reporting period.

- Updated Construction Cultural Heritage Management Plan (MR reference: 6-0052-210-PMA-00-PL-0007, revision 3.1, dated 13 March 2025), endorsed 26 March 2025. Letters of endorsement are presented in Appendix A.

The ER reviewed and approved the following Low Impact Works during the reporting period:

- Minor Ancillary Facility Assessment – Junee to Ilabo (J2I) Clearances (Rev 0), endorsed 7 March 2025
- Low Impact Works Application – Junee to Ilabo (J2I) Clearances (Rev 0), endorsed 7 March 2025.

4.2.2 Implementation

Construction commenced in March 2025.

4.2.3 Site inspections

One inspection was conducted on the 18 March 2025. The inspection included the Edmonston Street MAF, Marina silo, Ilabo MAF and Ilabo crossing. The sites were generally in good condition. One minor observation was made with an associated action identified. This was to apply protective cover to a stockpile at Edmonston Street to prevent erosion (wind and water). This action was closed at the time of completing this report.

The next inspection is scheduled for 8 April 2025.

BRG: 31°N (T) POS: -34.816325, 147.73939 ±6m ALT: 286m



Figure 1. Establishment of J2I MAF at Ilabo

4.2.4 Audits

The Independent Audit under CoA A31 is yet to commence. The ER has not had any involvement.

4.2.5 Complaint resolution

Nothing to report.

4.2.6 Meetings

Regular meetings as required for the review and endorsement of the Project's CEMP and sub-plans have been held throughout 2024 to March 2025, including the involvement of the ER. In addition, fortnightly meetings with Inland Rail, MR and the ER occur for the purposes of discussing Project works, progress on post-approval documentation, compliance, incidents, complaints and so forth. Meetings were held on 4 and 18 March 2025.

Monthly routine meetings between the Department, IR, MR and ER commenced on 14 March 2025.

4.2.7 Documentation issued

Refer to the endorsements referred to in Section 4.2.1 and Appendix A.

An inspection was carried out on 18 March and Inspection Report issued.

4.2.8 Communications with the Secretary

There have been no communications with the Planning Secretary or its representatives during the reporting period., other than through issue of the endorsement letters for the Sub-plans as noted in Section 4.2.1 above.

5. ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE OF THE PROJECT

5.1 Environmental Performance

Construction works commenced in March 2025. Current work activities include investigations, site establishment, utilities and possession works. The ER is of the view that the potential for environmental impacts is limited.

5.2 Key learnings

No lessons learned sessions are scheduled at this time.

Limitations

This Document has been provided by WolfPeak Group Pty Ltd (WolfPeak) to the Client and is subject to the following limitations:

This Document has been prepared for the particular purpose/s outlined in the WolfPeak proposal/contract/relevant terms of engagement, or as otherwise agreed, between WolfPeak and the Client.

In preparing this Document, WolfPeak has relied upon data, surveys, analyses, designs, plans and other information provided by the Client and other individuals and organisations (the information). Except as otherwise stated in the Document, WolfPeak has not verified the accuracy or completeness of the information. To the extent that the statements, opinions, facts, findings, conclusions and/or recommendations in this Document (conclusions) are based in whole or part on the information, those conclusions are contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of the information. WolfPeak will not be liable in relation to incorrect conclusions should any information be incomplete, incorrect or have been concealed, withheld, misrepresented or otherwise not fully disclosed to WolfPeak.

This Document has been prepared for the exclusive benefit of the Client and no other party. WolfPeak bears no responsibility for the use of this Document, in whole or in part, in other contexts or for any other purpose. WolfPeak bears no responsibility and will not be liable to any other person or organisation for or in relation to any matter dealt with in this Document, or for any loss or damage suffered by any other person or organisation arising from matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in this Document (including without limitation matters arising from any negligent act or omission of WolfPeak or for any loss or damage suffered by any other party relying upon the matters dealt with or conclusions expressed in this Document). Other parties should not rely upon this Document or the accuracy or completeness of any conclusions and should make their own inquiries and obtain independent advice in relation to such matters.

To the best of WolfPeak's knowledge, the facts and matters described in this Document reasonably represent the Client's intentions at the time of which WolfPeak issued the Document to the Client. However, the passage of time, the manifestation of latent conditions or the impact of future events (including a change in applicable law) may have resulted in a variation of the Document and its possible impact. WolfPeak will not be liable to update or revise the Document to take into account any events or emergent circumstances or facts occurring or becoming apparent after the date of issue of the Document.



APPENDIX A – ER ENDORSEMENT LETTERS

26 March 2025

Daniel Lumby
Principal Environmental Advisor
Level 6, 180 Ann Street
Brisbane QLD 4000

Dear Daniel,

RE: Inland Rail: ER Endorsement of updated Construction Cultural Heritage Management Plan – Stage A (Rev 3.1) for Albury to Illabo (SSI-10055) – Conditions A22(d) and C5

Approval of the Inland Rail's Albury to Illabo Critical State Significant Infrastructure project (SSI 10055) (the Project) was granted by the Minister for Planning and Public Spaces on 8 October 2024, subject to a number of conditions.

Condition A18 requires an Environmental Representative (ER) to be nominated by the Proponent and approved by the Planning Secretary prior to the commencement of works. The undersigned was approved as ER for the Project by the Planning Secretary's nominee on the 25 October 2024.

Condition A22 provides the requirements of the ER on the Project. It requires that the ER review documents to ensure they are consistent with the terms of the Project Approval and to approve minor amendments to the documents. It states:

- A22(d) *[For the duration of the work until the completion of construction, or as agreed by the Planning Secretary, the approved ER must] review documents identified in Conditions A9, C1, C6, C16, C18 and C26 and any other documents that are identified by the Planning Secretary, to ensure they are consistent with requirements in or under this approval and if so:*
- (i) make a written statement to this effect before submission of such documents to the Planning Secretary (if those documents are required to be approved by the Planning Secretary); or*
 - (ii) make a written statement to this effect before the implementation of such documents (if those documents are required to be submitted to the Planning Secretary / Department for information or are not required to be submitted to the Planning Secretary / Department).*

Further, condition C5 requires ER endorsement of CEMP and relevant Sub-plans which are not required to be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval. It states:

- C5 *CEMP(s) (and relevant CEMP Sub-plans) not requiring the Planning Secretary's approval, but requiring ER endorsement, must be submitted to the ER no later than one (1) month before the commencement of construction or where construction is staged no later than one*

(1) month before the commencement of that stage. The CEMPs (and relevant CEMP Sub-plans) must be endorsed by the ER as being consistent with the conditions of this approval and all undertakings made in the documents listed in Condition A1.

Martinus Rail (MR) prepared a Construction Cultural Heritage Management Plan (CCHMP) – Stage A (MR reference: 6-0052-210-PMA-00-PL-0007). The CCHMP has been prepared to satisfy the requirements of conditions C6, C7, C11 and C12, consistent with the staging set out in the Staging Report prepared under condition A9 and the approval pathway set out in the Construction Environmental Management Framework prepared under condition C16. Revision 1 of the CCHMP was approved by the ER under A22(d) and C5 on 9 December 2025.

MR have updated its CCHMP – Stage A (MR reference: 6-0052-210-PMA-00-PL-0007, revision 3.1, dated 13 March 2025) to incorporate the Site Specific Protection Plans for SHR Item 01169 – Henty Yard Railway Station and Yard Group; and SHR Item 01268 – The Rock Station and Yard Group (both prepared in accordance with condition E56).

In accordance with the requirements of condition A22(d) and C5, I hereby endorse the updated CCHMP for Stage A (MR reference: 6-0052-210-PMA-00-PL-0007). Table 1 of this letter sets out where and whether, in my view, the CCHMP content requirements of the Project Approval have been addressed. The contents of Table 1 remain unchanged from the initial ER endorsement.

I note that:

- future updates to the CCHMP resulting from progressive preparation of Site Specific Protection Plans (Appendix C) will continue to be assessed by the ER. Where updates meet the definition of a minor amendment, the ER may approve the updates (if adequate) as such under A22(j). Should the update not be considered minor, then the document will be reviewed and endorsed (if adequate) under condition A22(d) and C5, and will require resubmission to the Department.
- CEMP, Sub-plans and Construction Monitoring Programs required for Stage B are subject to separate review and endorsement and, as such, do not form part of this endorsement letter.

Should you have any queries or require further information please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on the details below.

Yours sincerely,



Derek Low

Environmental Representative

P: 0402 403 716

E: dlow@wolfpeak.com.au

Table 1: Summary of ER review of the CCHMP for Stage A (MR reference: 6-0052-210-PMA-00-PL-0007, revision 3.1, dated 13 March 2025), no changes to what has been reported previously.

Ref	Requirement	Where addressed	Consistent (Y/N)
A15	Ancillary facilities that are not identified by description and location in the documents listed in Condition A1 can only be established and used in each case if: c) they have no impacts on heritage items (including areas of archaeological sensitivity)...beyond the impacts approved under the terms of this approval;	CEMP Section 4	Y
C3	CEMP(s) (and relevant CEMP Sub-plans) must be submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval except those permitted to be endorsed by others pursuant to a CEMF approved by the Planning Secretary under Condition C16.	Section 1.7	Y
C4	Where a CEMP (and relevant CEMP Sub-plans) requires Planning Secretary's approval, the CEMP (and relevant CEMP Sub-plans) must be endorsed by the ER and then submitted to the Planning Secretary for approval no later than one (1) month before the commencement of construction, or where construction is staged, no later than one (1) month before the commencement of each stage.	Section 1.7	Y
C5	CEMP(s) (and relevant CEMP Sub-plans) not requiring the Planning Secretary's approval, but requiring ER endorsement, must be submitted to the ER no later than one (1) month before the commencement of construction or where construction is staged no later than one (1) month before the commencement of that stage. The CEMPs (and relevant CEMP Sub-plans) must be endorsed by the ER as being consistent with the conditions of this approval and all undertakings made in the documents listed in Condition A1.	Section 1.7	Y

Ref	Requirement	Where addressed	Consistent (Y/N)	
C6	Except as provided by Condition C16 the following CEMP Sub-plans must be prepared and implemented in consultation with the relevant government agencies identified for each CEMP Sub-plan. Details of all information requested by an agency during consultation must be provided to the Planning Secretary as part of any submission of the relevant CEMP Sub-plan, including copies of all correspondence from those agencies as required by Condition A8.	The CCHMP Section 1.6	Y	
	Required CEMP Sub-plan			Relevant government agencies to be consulted for each CEMP Sub-plan
	(e) Non-Aboriginal heritage			Heritage NSW and relevant councils
	(f) Aboriginal heritage			Heritage NSW, RAPs and relevant councils
C7	The CEMP Sub-plans must state how:	-	Y	
	a) the environmental performance outcomes identified in the documents listed in Condition A1 will be achieved;	Section 2.4	Y	
	b) the mitigation measures identified in the documents listed in Condition A1 will be monitored and implemented;	Section 6	Y	
	c) the relevant terms of this approval will be complied with; and	Table 3 Appendix A	Y	
	d) issues requiring management during construction (including cumulative impacts), as identified through ongoing environmental risk analysis, will be managed through SMART principles.	Section 5 Section 2.5	Y	
C9	The Construction Noise and Vibration Sub-plan must include, but not limited to: e) the location of all heritage items, non-heritage structures and infrastructure likely to be impacted by vibration and measures to manage vibration impacts at those items and structures;	CNVMP Appendix E	Y	

Ref	Requirement	Where addressed	Consistent (Y/N)
C11	The Non-Aboriginal Heritage Management Sub-plan must be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced heritage expert and include:	The CCHMP was reviewed and endorsed by a suitably qualified and experienced heritage expert – Dr Jodie Benton (Director, OzArk)	Y
	a) all exclusion zones, archival recording requirements, baseline, and periodic monitoring protocols (including before and during construction;	Section 6	Y
	b) measures to avoid or minimise impacts to the broad-gauge track in Albury Station and Yard Group identified in accordance with Condition E52 to the greatest extent practicable; and	Not applicable to Stage A	Y
	c) items to be salvaged, relocated or reused including Signal Box 1A at Albury and any items identified in the documents listed in Condition A1, Condition E51 and Condition E52.	Not applicable to Stage A	Y
C12	The Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Management Sub-plan must be prepared by suitably qualified and experienced persons and include:	The CCHMP was reviewed and endorsed by a suitably qualified and experienced heritage expert – Dr Jodie Benton (Director, OzArk)	Y
	a) measures to avoid and protect the Aboriginal objects, sites and Potential Archaeological Deposits identified within or adjacent to the project footprint, including fencing of areas to be avoided prior to Work commencing;	Section 6.4	Y
	b) updated mapping of all areas that have been or will be subject to monitoring and salvage excavations;	Section 6.3.5	Y

Ref	Requirement	Where addressed	Consistent (Y/N)
	c) procedures for monitoring, salvaging and relocating the Aboriginal objects and sites located within the approved development footprint;	Section 6.1	Y
	d) procedures to ensure RAPs and LALC are consulted on Aboriginal cultural heritage management throughout construction;	Section 1.6 Community Communication Strategy	Y
	e) procedures for short- and long-term management of any salvaged Aboriginal objects in consultation with the RAPs and LALC	Section 6.1	Y
	f) a contingency plan and reporting procedure for the management of Unexpected Heritage Finds and Human Remains that is prepared by suitably qualified and experienced heritage specialist in relation to Aboriginal cultural heritage, in consultation with the RAPs, LALCs and in accordance with the Code of Practice for Archaeological Investigation of Aboriginal Objects in New South Wales (DECCW 2010); and	Appendix B	Y
	g) heritage induction and training for construction personnel.	Section 6.1.1 Section 7.2	Y
C15	Construction must not commence until the relevant CEMP(s) and CEMP Sub-plans have been approved by the Planning Secretary or endorsed by the ER, (as applicable and as identified in the CEMF approved under Condition C16). The CEMP and CEMP Sub-plans, as approved by the Planning Secretary, including any minor amendments approved by the ER, must be implemented for the duration of construction. Where the CSSI is being staged, construction of that stage is not to commence until the relevant CEMP and Sub-plans have been endorsed by the ER and approved by the Planning Secretary or ER.	Section 1.7	Y